1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 ROBERT TUNSTALL, 8 Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2658 DFL JFM P 9 VS. TERESA A. SCHWARTZ, et al., 10 11 Respondents. **ORDER** 12 13 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 14 15 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at 16 any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing 17 § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be 18 served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. 19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's January 13, 2006 20 motion for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a 21 later stage of the proceedings. 22 DATED: February 21, 2006. 23 24 25 26

tuns2658.110